

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** HB0088

**Title:** Provide confidentiality for  
minors in possession

**Primary**

**Sponsor:** Ray Peck

**Status:** As introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	-----------------------------	------

## Fiscal Summary

	<b><u>FY2000 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY2001 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
General Fund	\$8,813	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>	<b>(\$8,813)</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>		<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		X	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget		X	Significant Long-Term Impacts

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

1. The Department of Justice (DOJ) could implement the restriction on use of minor in possession (MIP) conviction data for insurance purposes by means of a written disclaimer on all driver records disseminated by the department, advising the reader that "MIP convictions, if listed, may not be considered for insurance purposes." The insurance companies would still receive the information, but would be prohibited from using it for insurance purposes.
2. Passage of the bill will increase operating expenditures for the DOJ for a consultant to assist in computer programming. It will be necessary to contract for programming with an outside vendor since programming staff efforts are committed to complying with system Y2K needs.

(continued)

3. Operating expenses will be increased \$8,813 in FY 2000 for 96 hours of programming by an independent consultant, including \$1,613 for increased computer processing time due to program development and testing. (96 hours x \$75/hour = \$7,200 + \$1,613 = \$8,813)
4. The DOJ will be able to absorb all other operating costs other than those associated with the programming changes necessitated by passage of this bill.
5. No revenue impact is anticipated upon passage of this bill.
6. If use of a disclaimer on disseminated driver record would not satisfy the concerns addressed by this bill, alternatively, the department could “mask” all MIP convictions on any driving record that were being sent to an insurance company or an insurance support organization. This would require more sophisticated programming and additional expense (in excess of the estimates provided here) to ensure the MIP conviction information would be filtered out of any driver record released to an insurance company, but otherwise available to other users of motor vehicle driver records.
7. There is no fiscal impact to the State Auditor’s Office.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY2000 Difference</u>	<u>FY2001 Difference</u>
<u>Expenditures:</u>		
Operating Expenses	\$8,813	\$0
<u>Funding:</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$8,813	\$0
<u>Revenues:</u>	\$0	\$0
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$8,813)	\$0